



# SUGGESTIONS



## A Submission to the Electoral Districts Redistribution Panel

On behalf of The Liberal Party of Australia, NSW Division







# LIBERAL PARTY OF AUSTRALIA

NEW SOUTH WALES DIVISION

State Director

Electoral Districts Redistribution Panel  
c/o New South Wales Electoral Commission  
GPO Box 832  
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Commissioners,

I am pleased to submit Suggestions on behalf of The Liberal Party of Australia, New South Wales Division.

We have used the Boundary Maker software provided by the NSW Electoral Commission in conjunction with Mapinfo v17.0.3, so that our Suggestions can easily be viewed and analysed by Commissioners and staff.

Please be advised our Suggestions comprise the following:

1. A written submission with a summary of suggestions, a commentary on the methodology we have used in formulating them, the suggestions in detail as summarised by the Boundary Maker software and a detailed map of each of the suggested 93 electorates;
2. The Suggestions in PDF format for display on the Commission's website; and
3. The Suggestions in MapInfo format.

We would also like to take the opportunity to thank the NSW Electoral Commission, particularly the staff of the Redistribution Secretariat for their assistance to date.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Stone  
State Director





# **Suggestions Submission to the Redistribution Committee for New South Wales**

**On behalf of The Liberal Party of Australia, NSW Division**

## **CONTENTS:**

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>11</b>
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS AND 'ONE VOTE, ONE VALUE'	12
GEOGRAPHIC CRITERIA	13
<b>SUMMARY OF SUGGESTIONS</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>SUGGESTIONS IN DETAIL</b>	<b>17</b>
NORTH COAST	18
HUNTER TO THE CENTRAL COAST	19
WEST OF THE DIVIDE	20
SOUTH COAST (INCLUDING THE SUTHERLAND SHIRE)	22
WESTERN SYDNEY TO THE NATIONAL PARKS	24
SOUTHERN METROPOLITAN REGION	27
SYDNEY'S NORTH SHORE AND THE HILLS REGION	29
CURRENT AND PROJECTED ELECTORS FOR PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS	31
MAKE UP OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS	34
<b>MAPS OF SUGGESTED DISTRICTS</b>	<b>43</b>







# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Liberal Party is providing the Redistribution Panel with a comprehensive submission suggesting boundaries for ninety-three electoral districts. All statutory requirements have been met. The most important features of the submission are as follows:

1. **No seats are abolished in rural and regional New South Wales** in the Liberal Party's submission. In fact we limit changes to existing electoral districts, with 85 percent of electors outside metropolitan Sydney remaining in their current electoral district.
2. **We have chosen an option which avoids any substantial increase in the size of any seats in Western NSW.**

Murray only has a small increase in area, while Barwon is actually decreased by approximately 10,000 square kilometres.

3. **Urban growth in metropolitan seats leads to the creation of two new electoral districts: one in high-growth south west Sydney** adjacent to the new Western Sydney International Airport **and one in the north west.**

There are two abolitions to maintain the number of seats at ninety three. The new seats are named **Galston** and **Leppington**, while Canterbury and Davidson are abolished.

4. **Eleven seats are renamed** as a result of suggested new boundaries.
  - **Botany Bay** is the new name for Maroubra
  - **Byron** is the new name for Ballina
  - **Coogee** is the new name for Heffron
  - **Earlwood** is the new name for Kogarah
  - **Gloucester** is the new name for Upper Hunter
  - **Manning** is the new name for Myall Lakes
  - **Raymond Terrace** is the new name for Port Stephens
  - **Stanhope Gardens** is the new name for Riverstone
  - **St Marys** is the new name for Londonderry
  - **Waverley** is the new name for Coogee
  - **Woollahra** is the new name for Vaucluse



5. **Taking note of council boundaries remains a key priority to ensure community of interest is observed** when drawing State electoral boundaries.

We align electoral districts and those LGAs with strong local identity as far as possible, particularly in rural and regional New South Wales.

6. **We utilise the traditional “building blocks” methodology** employed by Electoral Districts Commissioners in the past. Six regions are considered and we have ensured that there is no systemic malapportionment in any one of the regions. This ensures there is a “one vote, one value” outcome across the State, with no rural weighting.

The following is achieved at the relevant future time:

- Metropolitan Sydney – 50.96 quotas – 51 seats drawn
- Rural and Regional NSW – 42.04 quotas – 42 seats drawn

7. **Federal electoral boundaries provide a useful guide to conceptualising some of the issues facing the Panel** in a number of areas. This is particularly helpful when considering how the “building blocks” should be adjusted

8. **Electoral districts have been drawn to secure a ‘one vote, one value’ principle over the two election periods outcome.**

With 85 of the 93 proposed electoral districts within plus or minus 2 percent at the projected quota at the relevant future time, the risk of an early redistribution being triggered is minimised.







# METHODOLOGY

# METHODOLOGY

**The Liberal Party's submission has taken careful note of the statutory provisions which constrain the Panel and the Party's suggestions in this submission fully comply with them.**

We have also examined the Commissioners' Reports published in 1998, 2004 and 2013, where reasons have been given for their determinations.

Essentially, the statutory provisions are both demographic and geographic. There is a requirement that the Redistribution Panel will draw boundaries that have regard for demographic trends and reflect the economic, physical and social geography of the State to facilitate fair and efficient representation of the electors of New South Wales.

There is also a presumption for minimal change to existing boundaries when the number of electoral districts remains the same, except insofar as is necessary to reflect demographic trends. The boundaries are to be in place for two State general elections, but can be reviewed earlier if they become 'malapportioned'.

From the Constitution Act and the Electoral Act it is clear that compliance with the provisions relating to the margin of allowance are mandatory, with the others observed at the Panel's discretion to facilitate fair, effective and efficient representation of electors.

## DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS AND 'ONE VOTE, ONE VALUE'

In their 2013 report, the Commissioners explored how in practice, the statutory provisions relating to margin of allowance should be applied to ensure that Parliament's

intention was reflected. They note that it was Parliament's intention that **boundaries should be drawn to ensure an outcome securing "the important 'one vote, one value' principle over the two election periods."**

This objective is cited as justifying both the creation and abolition of electoral districts, and their decision to draw electoral districts with particularly low current enrolments, employing the breadth of the margin of allowance to ensure that electoral districts have equal enrolments at the relevant future time. In fact, they chose to highlight that their record in drawing a greater number of electoral districts within plus or minus 2 percent of the quota (current enrolment and at the relevant future time) compared favourably with their predecessors in 2004.

This is important as in the intervening period, Parliament had made changes to the Parliamentary Electorates and Elections Act broadening the margin of allowance from 3 percent fewer or more electors than the average to 10 percent. It makes clear that the 2013 Commissioners in no way saw the amended margin of allowance as weakening the importance of securing a 'one vote, one value' boundary outcome.

Since amendments to the Constitution Act in 1990, a redistribution can be triggered prior to the expiry of the statutory period of two State general elections whenever more than one quarter of electoral districts have more than 5 percent fewer or more electors than the average. It is also worth noting that in 2013, the Commissioners also chose to specifically state that **the boundaries they drew did not risk 'malapportionment'**.



This commentary is important because it frames the proper usage of the margin of allowances which constrain decisions the Panel must now make about boundaries.

**It is the position of the Liberal Party that the Panel should seek to secure a ‘one vote, one value’ boundary outcome for the two election periods that they will apply.** Our suggested boundaries will achieve this outcome, both at a state-wide level and at a regional level as well.

We mention regions because it is important that across the state, there is no systematic malapportionment to advantage the electors of any particular region.

The existence of ‘one vote, one value’ statutory provisions were put in place to defeat rural weighting of electoral districts and we are opposed to any suggestions proposing such a scheme.

## GEOGRAPHIC CRITERIA

While directory rather than mandatory, the provisions of Section 21 (1) (b) of the Electoral Act are important to facilitate fair, effective and efficient representation of electors. The Commissioners in 1998, 2004 and 2013 have given considerable guidance to the Panel and those interested persons and parties making suggestions.

In 1998, the Commissioners noted that it was a “matter of degree” when considering natural boundaries as to whether their existence should influence electoral boundary decisions. They stated it **was not so much the topographical feature but its impact upon the landscape which determines what influence it should have on the boundary decision**, noting that the Great Dividing Range varies as an obstacle to transport and communication across the State. They also stressed that the insertion of ‘area’ in

Section 21 (1) (b) (iii) meant that there was a presumption that the Panel should be cognisant of the size and configuration of electoral districts.

The 1998 Commissioners also explored the community of interest concept. They said that a homogenous community of interest “will more than likely be influenced by the means of communication, the natural boundaries and an opposing view of another community of interest.”

In 2004 and 2013, Commissioners stressed that **keeping whole local government areas wholly contained in one electoral district was an effective means of maximising community of interest in rural and regional New South Wales.** The 2013 Commissioners also chose to highlight their record in minimising the number of rural and regional local government areas that were split compared to their predecessors.

Amalgamation of councils during the last parliamentary terms has changed the equation. It is harder to ensure that some larger, amalgamated Councils can always be wholly contained in one rural and regional electoral district. Despite amalgamation, we think there is some **utility in relying on former LGA boundaries in this redistribution, as they continue to be indicative of long-standing community of interest.**

In metropolitan areas, many of the LGA boundaries predate much of Sydney and Newcastle’s urban growth. In some areas they seem quite idiosyncratic or a historical curiosity. Amalgamation was an opportunity to address some of those, leading to more logical boundaries and some have been very well received.


By contrast, the contentious council amalgamation debate elsewhere served to highlight the **very strong, continuing community identity of the older and smaller local government areas** such as Burwood, Canada Bay, Hunters Hill, Ku-ring-Gai, Mosman, Strathfield, Waverley and Woollahra. It was indicative of an explicit community of interest understood and valued in these communities.

In summary, we feel less inclined to overlook LGA boundaries when considering metropolitan electoral districts in these council areas that maintained their opposition to amalgamation. Further, we recognise that in rural and regional areas, former shire boundaries continue to have relevance in considering community of interest

The 2004 Commissioners expressed a **preference for major roads, motorways, railways and significant geographic features to achieve clear boundaries in metropolitan areas.**

The Liberal Party agrees that this is an approach with considerable merit. We also favour utilising locality boundaries, including whole suburbs in electoral districts where possible, as residents know which suburb they live in. Boundaries drawn that have regard to these considerations assist reducing confusion to electors about their representational arrangements.

Finally, the presumption of minimising change stemming from the statutory requirement for the Panel to have regard to existing boundaries will always be the starting point for any boundary decisions. Having said that, departures from well-established boundaries to take account of demographic trends are inevitable in each redistribution of electoral boundaries.



*Electoral and Political Reference Map of New South Wales, c.1892. Source: National Library of Australia.*











**SUGGESTIONS**

**IN DETAIL**

# SUGGESTIONS IN DETAIL

## NORTH COAST

The eight existing electoral districts on the north coast have returned to above average projected growth, with most of the excess in Port Macquarie and Ballina. By contrast, Coffs Harbour and Lismore are projected to be almost 3 percent below quota.

On the far north coast, the highest projected growth is in the Ballina seat and the Liberal Party advocates adjustment to the boundaries to spread the growth between the four proposed electoral districts. The boundaries also reflect the approach taken with the Divisions of Richmond and Page.

The proposed electoral district of **Byron** (Ballina renamed) embraces the communities in the western and southern sections of the Federal division of Richmond, including Murwillumbah, Brunswick Heads, Mullumbimby, Bangalow, Byron Bay and Lennox Heads. A number of farming communities at the northern end of the Lismore LGA such as Nimbin, Dunoon and Clunes are included. They share many social and economic similarities with communities such as Bangalow and Mullumbimby. The southern boundary of the new Byron seat north of Lismore is very similar to the boundary between Richmond and Page prior to the last federal redistribution.

The proposed electoral district of **Lismore** includes Wollongbar and Alstonville. Both towns are, effectively, dormitory suburbs of the major regional centre, Lismore. We also think the Panel should take this opportunity to reverse the transfer of Casino to Clarence at the last state redistribution. The Bruxner Highway communities of Tabulam, Casino and Lismore,

along with Kyogle, Bonalbo and Woodenbong, have had strong linkages, with a shared economic history based on dairy and beef cattle farming and timber milling.

Casino is traditionally the transport hub of the Northern Rivers, located at the junction of the Sydney–Brisbane rail line and the former Casino to Murwillumbah rail line. It is connected by rail to Kyogle and by bus to Lismore, Kyogle and (weekly) Tenterfield, all currently within the Lismore electoral district. Casino is only 32 kilometres from the Electorate Office of the MP for Lismore, while it is 100 km from the Electorate Office of the MP for Clarence in Grafton. Tenterfield remains a good fit with these Bruxner Highway towns.

**Tweed** has stable enrolment growth and we make minor changes, with Burringbar and Mooball transferred to Byron. These towns relate far more strongly to Murwillumbah and the Byron coast to the south. The coastal town of Ballina is then linked with Evans Head and Yamba in the electoral district of **Clarence**. All three towns are linked by bus services along the Pacific Highway. To minimise variance from the margin of allowance, we are suggesting the addition of Glenreagh, Wooli and Minnie Water to **Coffs Harbour**. (Alternately, Coffs Harbour could remain about 3 percent under quota). **Oxley** is very close to the projected quota so we are suggesting no changes.

We strongly advocate not leaving any region of the State malapportioned, so our suggestions to Port Macquarie and Myall Lakes proceed on the basis that approximately 5,000 electors of Myall Lakes' projected electors should be transferred to the Hunter and Central Coast Region.



**Port Macquarie** is projected to be 7.5 percent over quota, but can be easily adjusted by all of its Mid Coast LGA electors being removed.

It is difficult for Mid Coast LGA to be wholly contained in any one electoral district and it is currently split between three. We reduce that to two in our proposed electoral districts of **Manning** (Myall Lakes renamed) and **Gloucester** (Upper Hunter renamed). Our Manning includes all those towns and villages in the former Manning Shire plus Forster/Tuncurry, Nahiack, Hallidays Point and Diamond Beach. The remaining Mid Coast LGA towns of Gloucester, Stroud, Booral, Boomerang Beach, Blueys Beach, Pacific Palms, Smiths Lake, Bugwahl, Coo-longoolook, Bulahdelah, Hawks Nest and Tea Gardens are transferred to our proposed Hunter region electoral district of Gloucester. Over 35 percent of Gloucester is comprised of electors from Mid Coast and Dungog LGAs.

## HUNTER TO THE CENTRAL COAST

Our suggestions for this region are heavily influenced by the enrolment projections. Along with the transfer from the North Coast region, the Hunter and Central Coast have a cumulative projective surplus equivalent of 27 percent of a quota – some 16,000 electors. Commissioners have declined to join any part of the Central Coast with a metropolitan seat for over 60 years. So it is advisable to transfer more than 15,000 electors from the western end of Upper Hunter to avoid a very significant malapportionment of the State's electoral boundaries. This will involve the transfer of both Liverpool Plains and Upper Hunter LGAs to an electoral district predominately west of the Great Dividing Range.

Hence our decision to rename the Upper Hunter electoral district as Gloucester. Our choice of this seat name is based on the very long pedigree it has as a seat name. In fact

an electoral district named “Gloucester and Macquarie” featured in the redistribution of electoral boundaries in 1851, after Victoria was separated from New South Wales. But for the period when the Legislative Assembly had multi-member electoral districts, there was an electoral district of Gloucester created in each state redistribution from 1880 to 1980 inclusive.

Like the Gloucester electoral district from 1926 to 1980, we are suggesting that the Tomaree and Tilligerry peninsulas be included in the seat, including Nelson Bay, Salamander Bay, Lemon Tree Passage plus Medowie. Gloucester thus includes all the coastal communities between Boomerang Beach and Anna Bay, all the littoral communities around Port Stephens and the former Gloucester LGA with Dungog and Gresford. All these communities have been together in the Federal division of Paterson at various times in the last twenty years.

The four Central Coast electoral districts are projected to have exactly four quotas at the relevant future time, so only minor changes are required. We suggest **Terrigal** can be left with no change to its boundaries. With council amalgamation having brought Central Coast LGA into existence, the Panel should adjust the boundary between **Gosford** and **Wyong** to reflect the natural boundaries. This means Kulnura can be combined in one electoral district. We also include Palm Grove and Palmdale, so that the M1 is the boundary. **The Entrance** is below quota but a small adjustment with Wyong in Berkeley Vale will fix this.

Only minor changes are made to the Newcastle seats, all of them to try and minimise variance from the projected quota and flow-on effects. **Swansea** and **Charlestown** exchange Redhead and Jewells, but this boundary could remain unchanged if larger variances were to be accepted by the Panel.

**Newcastle** gains Waratah and the split in New Lambton is corrected to wholly include this locality in Newcastle. However, since it is projected to be above quota, Merewether and Merewether Heights are transferred to Charlestown. Charlestown also gains Lakelands. **Wallsend** gains Garden Suburb and Cardiff Heights.

Significant change is limited to four of the region's thirteen seats. As we have seen, Upper Hunter becomes Gloucester. A seat that stretched from Muswellbrook to the coast is feasible, but we have preferred to suggest a more compact and logical Gloucester. The other most significant consideration is the size of Maitland LGA which will no longer be able to be contained in one electoral district.

Therefore we suggest electoral districts of **Cessnock, Maitland** and **Raymond Terrace** (Port Stephens renamed) which are compact and contiguous. Cessnock embraces Singleton and Muswellbrook LGAs. However, the majority of its proposed electors remain from the Cessnock, LGA so we suggest no change to the name.

Maitland, Rutherford, Telarah, Lochinvar and most of East Maitland are joined with the Kurri Kurri area in the electoral district of Maitland and those western Newcastle suburbs currently in Cessnock. It is noted that between Mulbring in the south and Lorn in the north, the western boundary of our proposed electoral district of Maitland is identical to the federal boundary between Hunter and Paterson.

The remainder of Port Stephens is joined with the communities in Maitland LGA north of the Hunter River, as well as Morpeth, Metford, Thornton, Ashtonfield and Woodberry. Vacy, Paterson and Clarence Town from Upper Hunter are included to minimise the variance from the projected quota, although including the whole shire of Dungog in the electoral district

of Gloucester would clearly be preferable on community of interest grounds if the Panel were happy with the variance. Raymond Terrace is the largest centre in this electoral district and we suggest it is an appropriate name.

## WEST OF THE DIVIDE

At each of the last two state redistributions, Commissioners have faced the seeming inevitability of an electoral district being abolished west of the Great Dividing Range. We lost Lachlan in 2004 and Murrumbidgee in 2013.

It is true that projected enrolment growth in a number of electoral districts is extremely low. Barwon, Cootamundra, Murray, and Northern Tablelands are obvious examples. However, others anchored by a significant regional centre are close to keeping pace with the state-wide average.

**In fact, all electoral districts West of the Divide can be retained in a very similar configuration with the same names as long as the Panel ensures there is no systematic malapportionment of other regions in the State.**

This absence of disruption may even be welcomed in rural and regional New South Wales after the triple whammy of drought, bushfires and COVID-19.

The key to obtaining this outcome is, we suggest, based on two key transfers of projected electoral surpluses to the region.

They are:

1. The transfer of about 27 percent of a quota from the former electoral district of Upper Hunter. This surplus is derived from high projected enrolments in Ballina, Port Macquarie, Cessnock and Maitland; and



2. The transfer of about 6 percent of a quota through the electoral districts of Monaro and Goulburn. This surplus is derived from high projected enrolments in Bega, South Coast, Shellharbour and Wollongong.

The first is effected by the addition of Liverpool Plains and Upper Hunter LGA to the electoral district of **Tamworth**, with the consequential transfer of the former Barraba Shire and Walcha LGA to **Northern Tablelands** to reduce the variance from the projected quota in that electoral district, and also of Gunnedah LGA to **Barwon**.

Tamworth is the major regional centre in this part of the state and Liverpool Plains LGA has frequently been in the electoral district of Tamworth. Its major centre Quirindi is closer to Tamworth than Gunnedah. It is also noted that both Liverpool Plains and Upper Hunter are currently included in the Federal division of New England with Tamworth and that Gunnedah is not in New England.

The addition of Gunnedah LGA to Barwon is positive. It is sufficiently large to lead to a reduction to the size of the electoral district, with sparsely populated Lachlan LGA being transferred out of the seat. The result is a reduction in area of the electoral district by about 10,000 square kilometres. This is a step in the right direction in this very large electoral district.

There is considerable community of interest based on economic interests and geography at the eastern end of our proposed electoral district of Barwon. Narrabri, Wee Waa, Boggabri and Gunnedah are closely linked, sharing Tamworth as their regional centre. Each have local economies focussed on cropping and some mining. Gunnedah also has strong links along the black soil plains to Mullaley and

towards Coonabarabran. These changes ensure this seat remains based in the northern basin of the Murray-Darling.

Dubbo, Orange and Bathurst are adjusted with small additions. **Dubbo** and **Bathurst** absorb contiguous parts of Mid-Western LGA north of Rylstone that are currently in the electoral district of Upper Hunter. **Orange** also gains small communities in Lachlan LGA west of Peak Hill and Tullamore, including Tottenham and Albert to minimise its variance from the projected quota.

The State's three south-western seats are also relatively simple to adjust. Griffith, Leeton and Narrandera LGAs have almost always been included together in the same seat, given their strong association as the key towns of the Murrumbidgee Irrigation Area. Therefore, we suggest the addition of Narrandera LGA to **Murray**.

Albury and Wagga Wagga have straightforward additions to meet the higher quota. Tumbarumba has always had a stronger community of interest with **Wagga Wagga** than Albury. Now that Tumbarumba Shire is amalgamated into Snowy Valleys LGA with Tumut, it is an obvious addition to Wagga Wagga. To compensate **Albury**, all of Lockhart LGA is transferred to Albury, except The Rock, which is only 31 kilometres from Wagga Wagga and 41 kilometres from Lockhart. Including Lockhart in the electoral district of Albury along with Urana, Jerilderie and Corowa is logical.

Which just leaves the seat of **Cootamundra** in this region. It is the classic low growth rural seat without a major centre which will always be vulnerable in a redistribution of electoral boundaries. However, the Liberal Party has ensured the sustainability of this seat with the addition of a high growth LGA – Yass Valley. Cootamundra's loss of Narrandera

is compensated by Condobolin and Lake Cargellico. Cowra LGA is transferred to Goulburn to minimise the variance from the projected quota.

Yass has frequently been in the same electoral district as Cootamundra: Burrinjuck. Burrinjuck Dam and the village of the same name are in Yass Valley LGA. In fact, Yass, Cootamundra, Harden, Murrumburrah and Gundagai were in the Burrinjuck electoral district continuously from the state election of 1941 through till the 1984 state election and again at the elections of 1991, 1995, 2007 and 2011.

Cowra, Boorowa, Crookwell and Goulburn have been in the same state and federal electorate on a number of occasions in the past as well.

## **SOUTH COAST (INCLUDING THE SUTHERLAND SHIRE)**

This region, traditionally, has been considered as a whole as these electoral districts are east of the Great Dividing Range. Mountain and other natural boundaries (for example, the Illawarra escarpment) along the southern coast of the State and adjacent to the Hume Highway corridor constrain boundary options. The Australian Capital Territory and the Sydney water catchments also bear upon boundary decisions.

There are a number of precedents Commissioners have adopted in state and federal redistributions along the coast to provide flexibility with boundary options:

1. The Snowy Mountains Highway corridor between Nimmatabel and Bega; Eden has frequently been in the seat of Monaro at a State level (continuously at State elections for 77 years between 1930 and 2007);

2. The Kings Highway corridor between Braidwood and Batemans Bay; the Federal seat of Eden-Monaro has generally included Batemans Bay although not at present;
3. Main Road 92 from Nerriga to Nowra; Tarago, Lake Bathurst and Nerriga have been in the State electoral district of South Coast;
4. Moss Vale Road through the Kangaroo Valley; there are many precedents for a linkage between Shoalhaven and Wingecaribee LGAs in seats drawn at both state and federal levels;
5. The Illawarra Highway between Albion Park and Robertson; the State electoral district of Kiama included Robertson until the 2015 election while the Federal division of Whitlam includes Shellharbour and Wingecaribee LGAs; and
6. Picton and Appin Roads between Wilton and Appin to Mount Ouseley and Bulli; The Federal division of Macarthur included the northern beaches of Wollongong and the State electoral district of Burrigorang included Picton and Bulli in the 1980s.

Further, the Federal and State **electorates including localities in the Sutherland Shire LGA have crossed the Georges River frequently in the last 50 years.** These linkages have included to Campbelltown LGA (at Glenfield), Liverpool LGA (at Casula, Liverpool and Warwick Farm), Bankstown LGA (at Milperra and Padstow Heights) and the St George area (at Lugarno, Oatley, Blakehurst and Sans Souci).

Currently, the Federal division of Cook crosses the Georges River at Blakehurst and Sans Souci, while the State electoral district of Holsworthy includes Sandy Point and Barden Ridge.



This discussion demonstrates that the Redistribution Panel has more flexibility than it might imagine in dealing with the projected surplus of 15 percent of a quota in the fourteen seats in this region. We have chosen to make the adjustments at the southern and northern end of the south coast and Sutherland Shire to minimise the change to the current configuration of seats.

**Monaro** gains Eden and Pambula, for which there is ample precedent. **Bega** is compensated with the southern Shoalhaven villages south of Ulladulla to Durras Lake, including Burrill Lake, Tabourie Lake and Bawley Point. This reflects State electoral boundaries prior to the 2007 State election. These changes allow the boundary between **South Coast** and Kiama to revert to the Shoalhaven River, which has often been an electoral boundary at a state level.

**Effectively, projected surpluses in Monaro, Bega and South Coast are transferred to seats west of the Great Dividing Range** by transferring the districts of Bywong and Wamboin (adjacent to Sutton in Yass Valley LGA) to the electoral district of Cootamundra and the towns of Bungendore and Nerriga to Goulburn. This facilitates the transfer of Yass Valley LGA to western seats from the electoral district of Goulburn.

The balance of this region's projected enrolment surplus compensates Kiama and is then transferred to Sutherland Shire seats. Having lost West Nowra, Nowra Hill and Falls Creek, **Kiama** regains Shell Cove, which it had at the 2007 and 2011 State elections and an adjustment is made to remove that part of Albion Park Rail north of Tongarra Road to minimise variance from the projected quota.

Very few changes are made to the Illawarra seats, other than those required to minimise variance with the projected quota.

**Wollongong** gains Dombarton, Kembla Grange and Brownsville which enables it to transfer the rest of Fairy Meadow to **Keira**. The surplus from high-growth Shellharbour and over-quota Wollongong is then transferred to Heathcote. We suggest the transfer of Coledale and Austinmer which have been in the electoral district of Heathcote many times before. To minimise the variance from the projected quota some of Thirroul might also be transferred. If thought necessary, we would suggest that the railway line is an appropriate boundary and we suggest that Thirroul East could be transferred.

In considering how to adjust the Sutherland Shire seats, we have been heavily influenced by demographic trends to the north and the west. We see the abolition of a seat between Sydney CBD and Fairfield as very likely, with a new electoral district drawn to the east of the new Western Sydney International (Nancy Bird Walton) Airport at Badgerys Creek. It follows that adjustments to the Shire seats should help facilitate resolving the substantial challenge of matching localities in Camden and Liverpool LGAs that are projected to grow very rapidly between now and the relevant future time in April 2023 with older established localities to the east.

We also suggest a fundamental re-think of the boundaries drawn for the electoral district of **Miranda** at the last redistribution. At present, it is not possible to drive from one end of the seat to the other without crossing its boundaries and leaving it. Is this a fundamental issue? Perhaps not, but in North American redistricting case law, there is an established preference for compactness and contiguity which Miranda clearly would offend. Alford's Point and Illawong belong in an electoral district with other suburbs west of the Woronora River. Miranda is also below quota with below average projected enrolment growth.

To this end, we strongly recommend that the Redistribution Panel transfers the suburbs of Prestons, Lurnea and most of Casula from the electoral district of Holsworthy. This will be discussed in detail below. The new western boundary of Holsworthy we suggest is the Hume Highway and the seat expands east taking in Alford's Point, Illawong and parts of Menai.

Further, we advocate the addition of Sans Souci, Carss Park and those parts of Ramsgate and Kogarah Bay south of Park Road to the electoral district of Miranda. It is noted that all of these suburbs are currently in the Federal division of Cook. This will ensure that Miranda has clearer boundaries, has better contiguity and reaches the projected quota.

Our suggested electoral district of Heathcote contains the smaller villages along Wollongong's northern beaches from Austinmer to Stanwell Park and Helensburgh. It then contains the localities of Bangor, Woronora, Bonnet Bay, Como, Loftus, Yarrawarra, Engadine, Heathcote and Waterfall at the western end of the Shire.

As a result of these changes a very clear boundary is drawn between Heathcote and Miranda, comprising the Como locality boundary (following a natural boundary), the railway line and President Avenue.

We have transferred Kirrawee south of President Avenue to Heathcote to better facilitate community of interest outcomes. The current split in the locality of Caringbah is addressed, while Bundeena and Maianbar are joined to the electoral district of **Cronulla** reflecting their public transport linkage (ferry services across to the wharf near Cronulla railway station).

## **WESTERN SYDNEY TO THE NATIONAL PARKS**

Compared to the relatively straightforward adjustments to electoral districts in rural and regional New South Wales, drawing boundaries for metropolitan Sydney is far more complicated.

**The 'elephant in the room' is that within just five electoral districts in outer western Sydney – Wollondilly, Camden, Macquarie Fields, Mulgoa and Londonderry – there is enough projected enrolment growth to draw a sixth.**

**The creation of a new seat carved out of these seats is inevitable,** with the abolition of an electoral district south of Sydney Harbour, where enrolment growth is tepid.

Further, we note that **in three electoral districts in the north-west of Sydney – Castle Hill, Parramatta and Riverstone – there is a projected enrolment surplus of over 43 percent.** This dovetails almost exactly with a projected enrolment deficit of electoral districts in Sydney's North Shore and Hills region.

The key challenge for the Redistribution Panel is to draw boundaries with current and projected enrolments falling within the margins of allowance in both the Constitution and Electoral Acts. In particular, it is very difficult to draw electoral districts replacing Camden, Riverstone and Castle Hill that meet demographic criteria, while maintaining community of interest.

The new electoral district that emerges is **Leppington**. It includes the high growth localities of Catherine Field, Leppington and the Emerald Hills, Vulcan Ridge and Willowdale Estates (all in Camden LGA), with Bardia and Edmondson Park in Liverpool LGA. Newer suburbs like West Hoxton, Hoxton Park, Horningsea Park and Carnes Hill in Liverpool



LGA are included. Balancing this high growth are the older, more established suburbs of Prestons, Lurnea and Casula (west of the Hume Highway) from Holsworthy.

At the outer rim of the region, Wollondilly and Blue Mountains are logically adjusted.

**Wollondilly** is able to benefit from the projected surplus in the south-east of the State, gaining Robertson, Burrawang, Wildes Meadow and Avoca plus High Range along the Wombeyan Caves Road from Goulburn. It also gains Camden Park from high growth Camden.

It loses Warragamba/Silverdale to the electoral district of Mulgoa. Placing Warragamba, Wallacia, Luddenham and Mulgoa in one electoral district reflects the community of interest of this remote part of Wollondilly LGA.

All of these towns look to Penrith as their regional centre.

**Blue Mountains** reaches quota by placing the whole of the LGA of the same name in one electoral district.

Our approach to the rest of Western Sydney proceeds from the principle that compliance with the statutory dual margins of allowance based on current and projected enrolments is best facilitated by distributing high growth localities between as many electoral districts as feasible. This is less likely to result in the electoral districts becoming quickly malapportioned.

The following table showing suggested transfers makes this clear:

Current Electoral District	Proposed Electoral District	Growth Locality
<b>Wollondilly</b>	Campbelltown	Appin
<b>Camden</b>	Campbelltown	Gregory Hills, Gledswood Hills,
<b>Camden</b>	Mulgoa	Aerotropolis precinct including Rossmore and Austral
<b>Camden</b>	Leppington	Catherine Field, Leppington and the Emerald Hills, Vulcan Ridge and Willowdale Estates
<b>Macquarie Fields</b>	Leppington	Bardia and Edmondson Park
<b>Mulgoa</b>	St Marys	Caddens
<b>Londonderry</b>	Penrith	Jordan Springs
<b>Riverstone</b>	Mount Druitt	Marsden Park
<b>Riverstone</b>	Hawkesbury	Riverstone, Schofields
<b>Castle Hill</b>	Galston	Box Hill
<b>Castle Hill</b>	Baulkham Hills	Rouse Hill, Kellyville

Thus, the projections of exponential enrolment growth in seven electoral districts we suggest is best spread across these fifteen seats.

**Camden** contracts to its traditional core suburbs plus high-growth Oran Park. **Campbelltown**, well under quota, swaps Bradbury, Ruse and Airs (transferred to Macquarie Fields) for Currans Hill and high growth Gregory Hills and Gledwood Hills. **Macquarie Fields** loses high-growth Edmondson Park and Bardia, and also Glenfield to Holsworthy.

**Penrith** has lost its Blue Mountains LGA component. But with only average enrolment growth it will benefit from the addition of Jordan Springs. Londonderry's impressive enrolment growth will require significant change and we suggest it should now be renamed the electoral district of **St Marys**, focussed on the second significant centre in Penrith LGA. Therefore, Penrith should gain Agnes Banks, Londonderry, Castlereagh, Berkshire Park and Llandilo. A very strong boundary of Northern Road is adopted between Penrith and St Marys, which can be easily comprehended by constituents. Kingswood and Cambridge Gardens, as well as St Clair from Mulgoa, are transferred to the electoral district of St Marys. Equally strong boundaries separate St Marys and the suggested electoral district of **Mount Druitt** – significant creeks and the railway line.

Riverstone also contracts so that high enrolment growth is spread and a change in the seat's name is required. The renamed electoral district of **Stanhope Gardens**, named for its most significant retail centre, has very strong boundaries. Apart from Colebee, it is entirely in Riverstone at present. It is delineated by Windsor and Richmond Roads in the east and west, the M7 in the south and the Schofields Road/South Street corridor in the north.

At its western end **Prospect** gains Minchinbury and part of Rooty Hill and the rest of Greystanes in the east. This means **Fairfield** can pick up suburbs to its west currently in Prospect – Smithfield, Wetherill Park and Bossley Park – with the very strong southern boundary of The Horsley Drive. The Fairfield electoral district is now wholly contained within the Fairfield LGA reducing confusing electors. **Granville** can then gain Guildford West and Merrylands West from Prospect. (Guildford and Merrylands are in the electoral district of Granville currently.)

There are few changes to Auburn, Blacktown, Parramatta and Seven Hills, with all five seats essentially preserving their existing character. The existing boundary between Seven Hills and **Blacktown** is adjusted so the whole suburbs of Lalor Park and Seven Hills are in the electoral district of **Seven Hills**, while high growth **Parramatta** loses most of Carlingford to minimise the variance from the projected quota. We suggest a similar, but we think, better boundary for **Auburn** around South Granville.

The movement in Liverpool, Fairfield and Canterbury/Bankstown LGAs is expansion towards the city as seats have below State-wide average projected enrolment growth.

**Liverpool** moves north into Mount Pritchard and Bonnyrigg, while **Cabramatta** moves east to absorb Villawood, Bass Hill and Chester Hill. **Bankstown** gains Greenacre and Mount Lewis from Lakemba.

Georges Hall is currently split between electoral districts at Rex Road. **East Hills** needs to grow and this is the opportunity to have this locality united in one seat, while streets with Bankstown addresses along East Hills' eastern boundary are moved to the electoral district of the same name.



Lakemba needs to make significant gains to the east to reach the projected quota. The addition of McCallums Hill, Clemton Park, Belfield and a substantial part of Belmore meets this need.

## SOUTHERN METROPOLITAN REGION

The fourteen electoral districts east of Lakemba are under quota. In fact there is only enough projected enrolment growth for just over 13.6 quotas. Furthermore, about 20 percent of a quota has been transferred from the electoral district of Canterbury to Lakemba, plus about 20 percent of a quota transferred south to the electoral district of Miranda from Kogarah and Rockdale.

Plainly there needs to be an abolition and we suggest the existing electoral district of Canterbury. We transfer its remaining localities to the electoral districts of Summer Hill and Kogarah (renamed Earlwood).

In the St George area, the electoral district of **Oatley** has very strong boundaries and these should remain, with river boundaries to west and south and a north-east boundary of King Georges Road. The riverside suburbs between Lugarno and Blakehurst have strong community of interest and are now together in the merged Georges River LGA which is very well accepted in the community. It is under quota so additions to the north in those areas of Beverly Hills and Narwee previously in the Oatley seat should be the first port of call.

Some of northern Riverwood could also be considered to minimise the variance from the projected quota, but this area has usually been in the electoral district of Lakemba as much of it is part of Canterbury/Bankstown LGA. The Georges River LGA section would be more appropriate on community of interest grounds.

Kogarah and Rockdale then make up their numbers from the southern section of the existing electoral district of Canterbury. There are a variety of possibilities. We note that Earlwood has frequently been in the same electoral district as Bardwell Park, Bexley North, Kingsgrove and Beverly Hills. These suburbs are all serviced by the T8 Airport and South railway line. This arrangement applied at all state elections from 1950 to 1988 in an electoral district called Earlwood. On the other hand, Earlwood has now been in the Federal division of Barton for several elections with Rockdale, Bexley and Brighton-le-Sands. Either would reflect the connection Earlwood has traditionally had to the St George despite being in the old Canterbury Council. Our suggested electoral district of **Earlwood** also includes Hurstville and Allawah. There are very strong linkages between Beverly Hills and Kingsgrove with Hurstville. They were together in the Hurstville Ward of the former City of Hurstville and there are public transport links by bus between these localities. But Kogarah and Carlton are transferred to the electoral district of **Rockdale** and a name change is required.

East of the Cooks River, the LGAs are smaller and a number strongly resisted amalgamation of local councils. In particular, Woollahra Council was the most aggressively resisted change with litigation, but they were also supported by Strathfield LGA. We have been cognisant of this sentiment in the decisions about remaining seats south of the Harbour.

**Drummoyne** is brought up to quota by adding parts of Canada Bay Council not in the seat and other areas previously in the electoral district. Strathfield and Burwood LGAs remain the core of the electoral district of **Strathfield** with the seat closely resembling its configuration at the 1999 and 2003 elections plus Haberfield.

The Inner West LGA is primarily associated with three seats: an unchanged **Balmain**; the electoral district of **Summer Hill** which has gained the suburbs of Hurlstone Park and Canterbury; and **Newtown** which also has Alexandria, Erskineville and Darlington in the City of Sydney LGA.

We draw the remaining five seats along similar lines and taking careful note of the Federal divisional boundaries. The electoral district of **Sydney** has a more north-south orientation like the Federal division of Sydney, linking the Sydney CBD with Surry Hills, Redfern, Chippendale and Waterloo. These reflect bus and (soon) metro rail linkages between these areas with central Sydney.

The remaining suburbs of the City of Sydney LGA are attached to electoral districts with the other suburbs they are linked to by public transport. Paddington South, Centennial Park, Potts Point, Elizabeth Bay and Rushcutters Bay are placed in an eastern suburbs based seat.

South of Gardeners Road there are well established links between Mascot, Botany and suburbs in the south ward of Randwick LGA such as Pagewood, Little Bay, Malabar, Matraville, Chifley and La Perouse. We have used Maroubra Road as the northern boundary of this seat. It is a major road that will be easily understood by constituents as a boundary. We have united all these suburbs in our suggested electoral district of **Botany Bay**, which is the current electoral district of Maroubra renamed, as 70 percent of its electors currently vote in Maroubra. All of these suburbs are united in the Federal division of Kingsford-Smith

Kensington and Kingsford are linked to Randwick and Coogee and are also linked at a federal level in the northern part of the Federal division of Kingsford-Smith. We link them in our suggested electoral district of **Coogee**.

It also includes Rosebery and Zetland from the current electoral district of Heffron (as are Kensington and Kingsford). While in almost equal amounts, we have preferred the name Coogee which aligns with the tradition of giving electoral districts geographical names in this State.

Southern Cross Drive has three major link roads east-west between Zetland and Rosebery with Kensington and Kingsford: O'Dea and Todman Avenues; Epsom Road and Lenthall Street; and Gardeners Road. There are multiple bus service linking the suburbs in our proposed electoral district of Coogee:

1. Route 302 - links Zetland, Kensington, Kingsford, Daceyville and Maroubra
2. Route 303 - links Zetland, Kensington and Kingsford
3. Route 316 - links Maroubra, Coogee and Randwick
4. Route 317 - links Maroubra Beach, South Coogee and Randwick
5. Route 353 - links Maroubra, Maroubra Beach, South Coogee and Coogee Beach
6. Route 357 - links Rosebery, Kingsford and Randwick
7. Route 370 - links Zetland, Randwick and Coogee
8. Route 376 - links Maroubra Beach, South Coogee, Coogee Beach and Randwick
9. Route 377 - links Maroubra Beach, South Coogee, Coogee Beach and Randwick
10. Route 400 - links Randwick, Kingsford and Maroubra

All of this is indicative of strong east-west links throughout our proposed electoral district of Coogee.



Further north, voters are predominately in the Federal division of Wentworth. An accurate reflection of community of interest in electoral boundaries in these areas would follow the LGA boundaries of Woollahra and Waverley. We have done this.

Our proposed electoral district of **Woollahra** includes the whole of the Woollahra LGA along with Paddington South, Centennial Park, Potts Point, Elizabeth Bay and Rushcutters Bay.

Our proposed electoral district of Waverley includes the whole of the Waverley LGA and those parts of Randwick LGA in the Federal division of Wentworth and a small additional number in the suburbs of Randwick and Coogee that are north of Alison Road between Avoca Street and the sea.

This boundary between Wentworth and Kingsford-Smith was the subject of considerable focus by the Augmented Electoral Commission in 2009 following Liberal Party objections. The Commission upheld the Liberal Party's case that Randwick North and Clovelly (in Randwick LGA's North Ward) were strongly linked north to the Wentworth division.

The Liberal Party continues to advocate the inclusion of Lord Howe Island in the electoral district of Sydney, reflecting arrangements at a federal level. The only year-round air services from mainland New South Wales to Lord Howe Island are from Sydney Airport via QantasLink. Its inclusion in a north coast seat is an anachronism.

## **SYDNEY'S NORTH SHORE AND THE HILLS REGION**

Finally, we consider Sydney's North Shore and the Hills region, where there is very low projected enrolment growth. Electoral districts including Wakehurst and Ku-ring-gai have

negligible growth, while Davidson is actually projected to have declining enrolment.

But transfers from the projected surpluses in the electoral districts of Riverstone, Castle Hill and Parramatta to electoral districts further east allows relatively straightforward adjustments to most of the seats in this region.

On the northern beaches, **Pittwater** gains Collaroy, but loses Terrey Hills to Wakehurst. The suburb of Dee Why, currently split between Wakehurst and Manly is united in the one electoral district – Wakehurst. Allambie Heights and Brookvale are transferred to **Manly**.

It would be preferable for all of Northern Beaches LGA to be contained in seats east of Middle Harbour but this is not possible within the projected margin of allowance. The declining enrolment in Davidson is also a factor.

We suggest that the most appropriate transfer to a seat west of Middle Harbour are the suburbs adjacent to the Roseville Bridge – Killarney Heights and Forestville. It is suggested they should be included in a seat with Roseville and Chatswood.

The electoral district of **Wakehurst** maintains its sea frontage at Dee Why, which it has had since its creation at the 1970 State redistribution. We suggest it includes Terrey Hills, Belrose, Oxford Falls, Davidson, Frenchs Forest, Wheeler Heights, Collaroy Plateau, Cromer, Narraweena and Beacon Hill. The inclusion of the suburb of Davidson means that Davidson cannot continue as the name of an electoral district.

With these suggested changes to seats on the northern beaches, electoral districts to the west of the Middle Harbour can easily retain their existing character.

These electoral districts are based on smaller LGAs with strong local identities:

- North Sydney and Mosman LGAs (North Shore)
- Willoughby LGA (Willoughby)
- Hunters Hill and Lane Cove LGAs (Lane Cove)
- Ku-ring-gai LGA (Ku-ring-gai)
- Ryde LGA (Ryde)

Although LGA populations do not allow a perfect overlap with electoral districts, we suggest boundaries that will preserve and even enhance the reflection of communities of interest in State electoral boundaries.

**North Shore** has good enrolment growth but needs some additions to minimise the variance from the projected quota. To maximise community of interest, we suggest the addition of those parts of the locality of Neutral Bay and Cremorne currently in Willoughby. West of the M1, we suggest Falcon Street and River Road as the appropriate northern boundary. This also permits us to include the whole of the St Leonards and Crows' Nest localities in the electoral district of **Willoughby**.

As previously mentioned, the transfer of Killarney Heights and Forestville to a seat with Chatswood best reflects that part of Northern Beaches LGA's linkages west. We advocate that they be included in Willoughby along with Roseville Chase and Castle Cove. To bring Willoughby's enrolments down closer to the projected quota, we are suggesting the transfer of Chatswood West and Lane Cove North to the electoral district of **Lane Cove**.

With Lane Cove's additions (including a section of western Lindfield and Roseville south of Grosvenor Road from Davidson) this seat needs to shed to the west.

We suggest the use of the Cressy Road corridor as the boundary between Lane Cove and the electoral district of **Ryde** (as it was at the 1999 and 2003 elections). Putney and Tennyson are also transferred to Ryde. The locality of Eastwood is then transferred to the electoral district of Epping. With these changes, all of the locality of Ryde is now included in the electoral district bearing that name.

It is not so easy, however, to avoid major changes in the remaining seven seats in the region given the projected enrolment deficit of over 40 percent of a quota east of the Hills region.

The surpluses from the north-west allow the following adjustments. While most of its electors are currently in Davidson, we suggest the Panel retains an electoral district of **Ku-ring-gai** reflecting the LGA name. Certainly the bulk of suburbs from that LGA will be included including Killara, Gordon, Pymble and St Ives, with much of Roseville, Lindfield and Turramurra as well.

The electoral district of **Hornsby** is retained with its traditional core – the suburbs from Hornsby and Waitara in the south to the Hawkesbury River in the north (with Dangar Island and Brooklyn retained in the seat). To this is added Wahroonga, Warrawee and North Turramurra. These are the north shore suburbs that relate more strongly to Hornsby than others further south, who see Chatswood as their regional centre.

The southern part of Hornsby LGA is consolidated in a more compact electoral district of **Epping** which includes suburbs along the T9 railway line from Cheltenham to Normanhurst, along with Epping, Eastwood and some of Carlingford.



The remaining three seats are drawn to make sure high growth suburbs in The Hills LGA are distributed between the existing electoral districts.

Replacing the electoral district of Davidson, a new electoral district of **Galston** is suggested. Peri-urban localities with shared interests from three LGAs are included in the seat including Cattai, Maroota, Annangrove, Kenthurst, Glenorie, Arcadia, Galston and most of Dural.

It also includes the growth area of Box Hill and the Cherrybrook area, including parts of West Pennant Hills, Thornleigh and Westleigh.

Finally, Rouse Hill, Beaumont Hills and most of Kellyville is transferred to the lower growth electoral district of **Baulkham Hills**, with some suburbs with stable growth such as North Rocks transferred to Parramatta, while West Pennant Hills and Carlingford are transferred to the electoral district of **Castle Hill**. This seat now has a more north-south orientation and is more compact.

### CURRENT AND PROJECTED ELECTORS FOR PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

The following table shows the current and projected enrolments, including deviation from the targets, for each of the proposed districts.

Electorate	Current Electors	Deviation	Projected Electors	Deviation
<b>Albury</b>	57,072	-0.21%	59,082	-0.27%
<b>Auburn</b>	56,902	-0.51%	60,352	+1.87%
<b>Balmain</b>	57,834	+1.12%	59,049	-0.33%
<b>Bankstown</b>	56,468	-1.27%	59,142	-0.17%
<b>Barwon</b>	58,751	+2.72%	59,823	+0.98%
<b>Bathurst</b>	57,065	-0.22%	59,026	-0.37%
<b>Baulkham Hills</b>	53,928	-5.71%	58,921	-0.54%
<b>Bega</b>	56,543	-1.14%	59,319	+0.13%
<b>Blacktown</b>	58,534	+2.35%	59,397	+0.26%
<b>Blue Mountains</b>	59,080	+3.30%	59,419	+0.30%
<b>Botany Bay</b>	57,959	+1.34%	60,073	+1.40%
<b>Byron</b>	56,497	-1.22%	59,156	-0.15%
<b>Cabramatta</b>	58,488	+2.26%	59,128	-0.20%
<b>Camden</b>	52,774	-7.73%	59,445	+0.34%
<b>Campbelltown</b>	53,517	-6.43%	58,230	-1.71%
<b>Castle Hill</b>	58,035	+1.47%	58,566	-1.14%
<b>Cessnock</b>	56,746	-0.78%	59,742	+0.84%
<b>Charlestown</b>	58,363	+2.05%	59,049	-0.33%
<b>Clarence</b>	56,732	-0.81%	58,728	-0.87%
<b>Coffs Harbour</b>	57,982	+1.38%	60,173	+1.57%
<b>Coogee</b>	57,896	+1.23%	59,479	+0.40%
<b>Cootamundra</b>	57,730	+0.94%	59,244	+0.00%

<b>Electorate</b>	<b>Current Electors</b>	<b>Deviation</b>	<b>Projected Electors</b>	<b>Deviation</b>
<b>Cronulla</b>	58,348	+2.02%	59,396	+0.26%
<b>Drummoyne</b>	58,383	+2.08%	59,765	+0.88%
<b>Dubbo</b>	56,730	-0.81%	58,193	-1.77%
<b>Earlwood</b>	58,752	+2.73%	58,720	-0.88%
<b>East Hills</b>	58,339	+2.00%	58,852	-0.66%
<b>Epping</b>	56,461	-1.28%	59,496	+0.43%
<b>Fairfield</b>	59,452	+3.95%	59,433	+0.32%
<b>Galston</b>	54,008	-5.57%	58,958	-0.48%
<b>Gloucester</b>	57,751	+0.98%	59,925	+1.15%
<b>Gosford</b>	57,446	+0.44%	59,599	+0.60%
<b>Goulburn</b>	56,920	-0.48%	58,823	-0.71%
<b>Granville</b>	57,519	+0.57%	60,018	+1.31%
<b>Hawkesbury</b>	54,372	-4.93%	60,474	+2.08%
<b>Heathcote</b>	57,858	+1.16%	58,184	-1.79%
<b>Holsworthy</b>	57,965	+1.35%	58,859	-0.65%
<b>Hornsby</b>	57,935	+1.30%	58,802	-0.75%
<b>Keira</b>	59,449	+3.95%	59,700	+0.77%
<b>Kiama</b>	56,443	-1.31%	59,457	+0.36%
<b>Ku-ring-gai</b>	58,817	+2.84%	58,695	-0.93%
<b>Lake Macquarie</b>	56,441	-1.31%	59,912	+1.13%
<b>Lakemba</b>	57,199	+0.01%	59,340	+0.16%
<b>Lane Cove</b>	58,581	+2.43%	59,835	+1.00%
<b>Leppington</b>	51,770	-9.48%	61,420	+3.67%
<b>Lismore</b>	57,839	+1.13%	59,846	+1.02%
<b>Liverpool</b>	57,220	+0.05%	58,062	-1.99%
<b>Macquarie Fields</b>	58,312	+1.96%	58,027	-2.05%
<b>Maitland</b>	55,590	-2.80%	59,241	+0.00%
<b>Manly</b>	57,703	+0.89%	58,512	-1.23%
<b>Manning</b>	56,831	-0.63%	59,486	+0.41%
<b>Miranda</b>	57,893	+1.22%	59,212	-0.05%
<b>Monaro</b>	56,646	-0.96%	59,119	-0.21%
<b>Mount Druitt</b>	56,011	-2.07%	59,654	+0.69%
<b>Mulgoa</b>	53,303	-6.80%	58,219	-1.73%
<b>Murray</b>	59,949	+4.82%	60,202	+1.62%
<b>Newcastle</b>	57,195	+0.00%	59,685	+0.75%
<b>Newtown</b>	57,649	+0.80%	59,293	+0.08%
<b>North Shore</b>	59,004	+3.17%	60,253	+1.70%
<b>Northern Tablelands</b>	58,618	+2.49%	59,370	+0.21%



<b>Electorate</b>	<b>Current Electors</b>	<b>Deviation</b>	<b>Projected Electors</b>	<b>Deviation</b>
<b>Oatley</b>	58,260	+1.87%	58,401	-1.42%
<b>Orange</b>	57,762	+1.00%	59,828	+0.99%
<b>Oxley</b>	57,225	+0.06%	59,148	-0.16%
<b>Parramatta</b>	56,752	-0.77%	59,892	+1.09%
<b>Penrith</b>	55,926	-2.21%	58,121	-1.89%
<b>Pittwater</b>	57,759	+0.99%	58,325	-1.55%
<b>Port Macquarie</b>	54,867	-4.07%	57,781	-2.47%
<b>Prospect</b>	57,976	+1.37%	58,528	-1.21%
<b>Raymond Terrace</b>	56,463	-1.28%	59,345	+0.17%
<b>Rockdale</b>	56,301	-1.56%	59,464	+0.37%
<b>Ryde</b>	57,771	+1.01%	59,599	+0.60%
<b>Seven Hills</b>	58,683	+2.61%	60,676	+2.42%
<b>Shellharbour</b>	58,203	+1.77%	60,257	+1.71%
<b>South Coast</b>	57,399	+0.36%	60,441	+2.02%
<b>St Marys</b>	56,176	-1.78%	57,949	-2.19%
<b>Stanhope Gardens</b>	54,609	-4.52%	58,174	-1.81%
<b>Strathfield</b>	58,267	+1.88%	59,382	+0.23%
<b>Summer Hill</b>	57,018	-0.31%	59,168	-0.13%
<b>Swansea</b>	57,938	+1.30%	59,334	+0.15%
<b>Sydney</b>	57,019	-0.30%	59,622	+0.64%
<b>Tamworth</b>	58,236	+1.82%	60,486	+2.10%
<b>Terrigal</b>	57,513	+0.56%	58,950	-0.50%
<b>The Entrance</b>	57,554	+0.63%	59,121	-0.21%
<b>Tweed</b>	56,154	-1.82%	57,783	-2.47%
<b>Wagga Wagga</b>	56,516	-1.18%	58,063	-1.99%
<b>Wakehurst</b>	58,817	+2.84%	59,085	-0.27%
<b>Wallsend</b>	57,832	+1.12%	59,247	+0.01%
<b>Waverley</b>	58,139	+1.65%	59,529	+0.48%
<b>Willoughby</b>	57,858	+1.16%	59,519	+0.46%
<b>Wollondilly</b>	56,368	-1.44%	58,658	-0.99%
<b>Wollongong</b>	56,251	-1.65%	58,499	-1.26%
<b>Woollahra</b>	58,052	+1.50%	59,253	+0.02%
<b>Wyong</b>	55,662	-2.68%	59,444	+0.34%

## MAKE UP OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

The following table shows the percentage of each proposed district by existing district.

### Albury

From	%
Albury	97%
Wagga Wagga	3%

### Auburn

From	%
Auburn	100%

### Balmain

From	%
Balmain	100%

### Bankstown

From	%
Bankstown	62%
Lakemba	35%
East Hills	2%

### Barwon

From	%
Barwon	84%
Tamworth	16%

### Bathurst

From	%
Bathurst	100%

### Baulkham Hills

From	%
Baulkham Hills	50%
Castle Hill	49%
Seven Hills	1%

### Bega

From	%
Bega	92%
South Coast	8%

### Blacktown

From	%
Blacktown	82%
Mount Druit	18%

### Blue Mountains

From	%
Blue Mountains	92%
Penrith	8%

### Botany Bay

From	%
Maroubra	73%
Heffron	27%

### Byron

From	%
Ballina	65%
Lismore	33%
Tweed	3%

### Cabramatta

From	%
Cabramatta	39%
Bankstown	28%
Fairfield	27%
Liverpool	5%

### Camden

From	%
Camden	100%

### Campbelltown

From	%
Campbelltown	59%
Camden	23%
Macquarie Fields	14%
Wollondilly	4%



### Castle Hill

From	%
Castle Hill	49%
Baulkham Hills	47%
Epping	2%
Hornsby	1%
Parramatta	1%

### Cessnock

From	%
Cessnock	52%
Upper Hunter	48%
Maitland	1%

### Charlestown

From	%
Charlestown	82%
Newcastle	14%
Swansea	2%
Lake Macquarie	2%

### Clarence

From	%
Clarence	73%
Ballina	27%
Lismore	1%

### Coffs Harbour

From	%
Coffs Harbour	96%
Clarence	4%

### Coogee

From	%
Heffron	38%
Coogee	38%
Maroubra	24%

### Cootamundra

From	%
Cootamundra	67%
Goulburn	22%
Barwon	6%
Monaro	5%

### Cronulla

From	%
Cronulla	94%
Heathcote	3%
Miranda	3%

### Drummoyne

From	%
Drummoyne	93%
Strathfield	7%

### Dubbo

From	%
Dubbo	99%
Upper Hunter	1%

### East Hills

From	%
East Hills	94%
Bankstown	6%

### Epping

From	%
Epping	70%
Ryde	18%
Parramatta	8%
Hornsby	4%
Ku-Ring-Gai	1%

### Fairfield

From	%
Fairfield	41%
Prospect	32%
Cabramatta	27%

### Galston

From	%
Hornsby	28%
Epping	27%
Hawkesbury	20%
Castle Hill	16%
Ku-Ring-Gai	9%

### Gloucester

From	%
Port Stephens	73%
Upper Hunter	17%
Myall Lakes	10%

### Gosford

From	%
Gosford	99%
Wyong	1%

### Goulburn

From	%
Goulburn	75%
Cootamundra	16%
Monaro	8%
Bathurst	0%

### Granville

From	%
Granville	77%
Fairfield	23%

### Hawkesbury

From	%
Hawkesbury	74%
Riverstone	26%

### Heathcote

From	%
Heathcote	74%
Miranda	12%
Keira	9%
Cronulla	5%

### Holsworthy

From	%
Holsworthy	57%
Macquarie Fields	16%
Miranda	14%
Heathcote	9%
Liverpool	4%

### Hornsby

From	%
Hornsby	59%
Ku-Ring-Gai	37%
Epping	4%

### Keira

From	%
Keira	91%
Wollongong	9%

### Kiama

From	%
Kiama	91%
Shellharbour	9%

### Kogarah

From	%
Kogarah	66%
Canterbury	30%
Rockdale	4%

### Ku-ring-gai

From	%
Davidson	55%
Ku-Ring-Gai	45%

### Lake Macquarie

From	%
Lake Macquarie	100%

### Lakemba

From	%
Lakemba	53%
Canterbury	47%

### Lane Cove

From	%
Lane Cove	80%
Willoughby	15%
Davidson	5%

### Leppington

From	%
Holsworthy	36%
Macquarie Fields	28%
Liverpool	22%
Camden	13%

### Lismore

From	%
Lismore	64%
Clarence	23%
Ballina	13%

### Liverpool

From	%
Liverpool	69%
Cabramatta	27%
Holsworthy	4%

### Macquarie Fields

From	%
Macquarie Fields	62%
Campbelltown	38%

### Maitland

From	%
Cessnock	50%
Maitland	50%

### Manly

From	%
Manly	90%
Wakehurst	10%

### Manning

From	%
Myall Lakes	91%
Port Macquarie	9%

### Miranda

From	%
Miranda	67%
Rockdale	20%
Heathcote	12%
Kogarah	1%

### Monaro

From	%
Monaro	89%
Bega	11%

### Mount Druitt

From	%
Mount Druitt	58%
Londonderry	42%

### Mulgoa

From	%
Mulgoa	79%
Camden	13%
Wollondilly	7%

### Murray

From	%
Murray	93%
Cootamundra	7%



### Newcastle

From	%
Newcastle	83%
Wallsend	15%
Charlestown	2%

### Newtown

From	%
Newtown	60%
Heffron	31%
Summer Hill	10%

### North Shore

From	%
North Shore	90%
Willoughby	10%

### Northern Tablelands

From	%
Northern Tablelands	94%
Tamworth	6%

### Oatley

From	%
Oatley	93%
Lakemba	7%

### Orange

From	%
Orange	99%
Barwon	1%

### Oxley

From	%
Oxley	100%

### Parramatta

From	%
Parramatta	98%
Baulkham Hills	2%

### Penrith

From	%
Penrith	77%
Londonderry	23%

### Pittwater

From	%
Pittwater	93%
Wakehurst	7%

### Port Macquarie

From	%
Port Macquarie	100%

### Prospect

From	%
Prospect	60%
Granville	17%
Mount Druitt	17%
Blacktown	5%
Fairfield	1%

### Raymond Terrace

From	%
Maitland	62%
Port Stephens	26%
Upper Hunter	6%
Newcastle	6%

### Rockdale

From	%
Rockdale	76%
Kogarah	24%

### Ryde

From	%
Ryde	83%
Lane Cove	17%

### Seven Hills

From	%
Seven Hills	92%
Blacktown	8%

### Shellharbour

From	%
Shellharbour	99%
Kiama	1%

### South Coast

From	%
South Coast	93%
Kiama	7%

### St Marys

From	%
Londonderry	57%
Mulgoa	25%
Penrith	17%

### Stanhope Gardens

From	%
Riverstone	95%
Mount Druitt	5%

### Strathfield

From	%
Strathfield	81%
Summer Hill	19%

### Summer Hill

From	%
Summer Hill	72%
Canterbury	23%
Strathfield	5%
Newtown	1%

### Swansea

From	%
Swansea	95%
Charlestown	5%

### Sydney

From	%
Sydney	50%
Newtown	36%
Heffron	14%

### Tamworth

From	%
Tamworth	75%
Upper Hunter	25%

### Terrigal

From	%
Terrigal	100%

### The Entrance

From	%
The Entrance	98%
Wyong	2%

### Tweed

From	%
Tweed	100%

### Wagga Wagga

From	%
Wagga Wagga	96%
Albury	4%

### Wakehurst

From	%
Wakehurst	68%
Davidson	19%
Manly	8%
Pittwater	5%

### Wallsend

From	%
Wallsend	89%
Charlestown	7%
Cessnock	3%
Lake Macquarie	1%

### **Waverley**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Coogee	55%
Vaucluse	45%

---

### **Willoughby**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Willoughby	68%
Davidson	14%
Wakehurst	9%
North Shore	6%
Lane Cove	3%

---

### **Wollondilly**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Wollondilly	92%
Goulburn	5%
Camden	3%

---

### **Wollongong**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Wollongong	98%
Shellharbour	2%

---

### **Woollahra**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Vaucluse	52%
Sydney	45%
Heffron	3%

---


### **Wyong**

<i>From</i>	<i>%</i>
Wyong	100%











# MAPS OF PROPOSED DISTRICTS








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF ALBURY

Current Electors 57,072 (-0.21%) • Projected Electors: 59,082 (-0.27%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF AUBURN

Current Electors 56,902 (-0.51%) • Projected Electors: 60,352 (+1.87%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BALMAIN

Current Electors 57,834 (+1.12%) • Projected Electors: 59,049 (-0.33%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BANKSTOWN

Current Electors 56,468 (-1.27%) • Projected Electors: 59,142 (-0.17%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BARWON

Current Electors 58,751 (+2.72%) • Projected Electors: 59,823 (+0.98%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BATHURST

Current Electors 57,065 (-0.22%) • Projected Electors: 59,026 (-0.37%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BAULKHAM HILLS

Current Electors 53,928 (-5.71%) • Projected Electors: 58,921 (-0.54%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BEGA

Current Electors 56,543 (-1.14%) • Projected Electors: 59,319 (+0.13%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary







## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - BEGA, SOUTHERN BOUNDARY


Current Electors 56,543 (-1.14%) • Projected Electors: 59,319 (+0.13%)

### LEGEND:

 Existing State Electorate Boundary  
 Existing Local Government Boundary

 Existing Federal Boundary  
 Suburb/Locality Boundary

 Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BLACKTOWN

Current Electors 58,534 (+2.35%) • Projected Electors: 59,397 (+0.26%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BLUE MOUNTAINS

Current Electors 59,080 (+3.30%) • Projected Electors: 59,419 (+0.30%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BOTANY BAY

Current Electors 57,959 (+1.34%) • Projected Electors: 60,073 (+1.40%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF BYRON

Current Electors 56,497 (-1.22%) • Projected Electors: 59,156 (-0.15%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - BYRON, SOUTH EASTERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 56,497 (-1.22%) • Projected Electors: 59,156 (-0.15%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF CABRAMATTA

Current Electors 58,488 (+2.26%) • Projected Electors: 59,128 (-0.20%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF CAMPBELLTOWN

Current Electors 53,517 (-6.43%) • Projected Electors: 58,230 (-1.71%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF CASTLE HILL

Current Electors 58,035 (+1.47%) • Projected Electors: 58,566 (-1.14%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF CESSNOCK

Current Electors 56,746 (-0.78%) • Projected Electors: 59,742 (+0.84%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - CESSNOCK, EASTERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 56,746 (-0.78%) • Projected Electors: 59,742 (+0.84%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary













## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF CLARENCE

Current Electors 56,732 (-0.81%) • Projected Electors: 58,728 (-0.87%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF COFFS HARBOUR

Current Electors 57,982 (+1.38%) • Projected Electors: 60,173 (+1.57%)

**LEGEND:**

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF COOGEE

Current Electors 57,896 (+1.23%) • Projected Electors: 59,479 (+0.40%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF COOTAMUNDRA

Current Electors 57,730 (+0.94%) • Projected Electors: 59,244 (+0.00%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary




# DETAIL - COOTAMUNDRA, NORTHERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 57,730 (+0.94%) • Projected Electors: 59,244 (+0.00%)

**LEGEND:**

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary















## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF DRUMMOYNE

Current Electors 58,383 (+2.08%) • Projected Electors: 59,765 (+0.88%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF DUBBO

Current Electors 56,730 (-0.81%) • Projected Electors: 58,193 (-1.77%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF EARLWOOD

Current Electors 58,752 (+2.73%) • Projected Electors: 58,720 (-0.88%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF EAST HILLS

Current Electors 58,339 (+2.00%) • Projected Electors: 58,852 (-0.66%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF EPPING

Current Electors 56,461 (-1.28%) • Projected Electors: 59,496 (+0.43%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD

Current Electors 59,452 (+3.95%) • Projected Electors: 59,433 (+0.32%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF GALSTON

Current Electors 54,008 (-5.57%) • Projected Electors: 58,958 (-0.48%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - GALSTON, SOUTHERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 54,008 (-5.57%) • Projected Electors: 58,958 (-0.48%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF GLOUCESTER

Current Electors 57,751 (+0.98%) • Projected Electors: 59,925 (+1.15%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary












## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF GOULBURN

Current Electors 56,920 (-0.48%) • Projected Electors: 58,823 (-0.71%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF GRANVILLE

Current Electors 57,519 (+0.57%) • Projected Electors: 60,018 (+1.31%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF HAWKESBURY

Current Electors 54,372 (-4.93%) • Projected Electors: 60,474 (+2.08%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - HAWKESBURY, SOUTH EASTERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 54,372 (-4.93%) • Projected Electors: 60,474 (+2.08%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF HEATHCOTE

Current Electors 57,858 (+1.16%) • Projected Electors: 58,184 (-1.79%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - HEATHCOTE, NORTHERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 57,858 (+1.16%) • Projected Electors: 58,184 (-1.79%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF HOLSWORTHY

Current Electors 57,965 (+1.35%) • Projected Electors: 58,859 (-0.65%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - HOLSWORTHY, EASTERN BOUNDAR

Current Electors 57,965 (+1.35%) • Projected Electors: 58,859 (-0.65%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF HORNSBY

Current Electors 57,935 (+1.30%) • Projected Electors: 58,802 (-0.75%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary







## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - HORNSBY, SOUTHERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 57,935 (+1.30%) • Projected Electors: 58,802 (-0.75%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary




# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF KEIRA

Current Electors 59,449 (+3.95%) • Projected Electors: 59,700 (+0.77%)

**LEGEND:**

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary





## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF KIAMA

Current Electors 56,443 (-1.31%) • Projected Electors: 59,457 (+0.36%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF KU-RING-GAI

Current Electors 58,817 (+2.84%) • Projected Electors: 58,695 (-0.93%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF LAKE MACQUARIE

Current Electors 56,441 (-1.31%) • Projected Electors: 59,912 (+1.13%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF LAKEMBA

Current Electors 57,199 (+0.01%) • Projected Electors: 59,340 (+0.16%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF LANE COVE

Current Electors 58,581 (+2.43%) • Projected Electors: 59,835 (+1.00%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF LEPPINGTON

Current Electors 51,770 (-9.48%) • Projected Electors: 61,420 (+3.67%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - LISMORE, EASTERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 57,839 (+1.13%) • Projected Electors: 59,846 (+1.02%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF LIVERPOOL

Current Electors 57,220 (+0.05%) • Projected Electors: 58,062 (-1.99%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MACQUARIE FIELDS

Current Electors 58,312 (+1.96%) • Projected Electors: 58,027 (-2.05%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MAITLAND

Current Electors 55,590 (-2.80%) • Projected Electors: 59,241 (+0.00%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MANLY

Current Electors 57,703 (+0.89%) • Projected Electors: 58,512 (-1.23%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MANNING

Current Electors 56,831 (-0.63%) • Projected Electors: 59,486 (+0.41%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MIRANDA

Current Electors 57,893 (+1.22%) • Projected Electors: 59,212 (-0.05%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MONARO

Current Electors 56,646 (-0.96%) • Projected Electors: 59,119 (-0.21%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary







## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MOUNT DRUITT


Current Electors 56,011 (-2.07%) • Projected Electors: 59,654 (+0.69%)

### LEGEND:

 Existing State Electorate Boundary  
 Existing Local Government Boundary

 Existing Federal Boundary  
 Suburb/Locality Boundary

 Other Proposed Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF MULGOA

Current Electors 53,303 (-6.80%) • Projected Electors: 58,219 (-1.73%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary












## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF NEWCASTLE

Current Electors 57,195 (+0.00%) • Projected Electors: 59,685 (+0.75%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF NEWTOWN

Current Electors 57,649 (+0.80%) • Projected Electors: 59,293 (+0.08%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF NORTH SHORE

Current Electors 59,004 (+3.17%) • Projected Electors: 60,253 (+1.70%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary













## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF OATLEY

Current Electors 58,260 (+1.87%) • Projected Electors: 58,401 (-1.42%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF ORANGE

Current Electors 57,762 (+1.00%) • Projected Electors: 59,828 (+0.99%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF OXLEY

Current Electors 57,225 (+0.06%) • Projected Electors: 59,148 (-0.16%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF PARRAMATTA

Current Electors 56,752 (-0.77%) • Projected Electors: 59,892 (+1.09%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF PENRITH

Current Electors 55,926 (-2.21%) • Projected Electors: 58,121 (-1.89%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF PITTWATER

Current Electors 57,759 (+0.99%) • Projected Electors: 58,325 (-1.55%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF PORT MACQUARIE

Current Electors 54,867 (-4.07%) • Projected Electors: 57,781 (-2.47%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF PROSPECT

Current Electors 57,976 (+1.37%) • Projected Electors: 58,528 (-1.21%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary










## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF ROCKDALE

Current Electors 56,301 (-1.56%) • Projected Electors: 59,464 (+0.37%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF RYDE

Current Electors 57,771 (+1.01%) • Projected Electors: 59,599 (+0.60%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SEVEN HILLS

Current Electors 58,683 (+2.61%) • Projected Electors: 60,676 (+2.42%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SHELLHARBOUR

Current Electors 58,203 (+1.77%) • Projected Electors: 60,257 (+1.71%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SOUTH COAST

Current Electors 57,399 (+0.36%) • Projected Electors: 60,441 (+2.02%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF ST MARYS

Current Electors 56,176 (-1.78%) • Projected Electors: 57,949 (-2.19%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF STANHOPE GARDENS

Current Electors 54,609 (-4.52%) • Projected Electors: 58,174 (-1.81%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF STRATHFIELD

Current Electors 58,267 (+1.88%) • Projected Electors: 59,382 (+0.23%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SUMMER HILL

Current Electors 57,018 (-0.31%) • Projected Electors: 59,168 (-0.13%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SWANSEA

Current Electors 57,938 (+1.30%) • Projected Electors: 59,334 (+0.15%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF SYDNEY

Current Electors 57,019 (-0.30%) • Projected Electors: 59,622 (+0.64%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary



INSET - LORD HOWE ISLAND











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF TERRIGAL

Current Electors 57,513 (+0.56%) • Projected Electors: 58,950 (-0.50%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF THE ENTRANCE

Current Electors 57,554 (+0.63%) • Projected Electors: 59,121 (-0.21%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WAGGA WAGGA

Current Electors 56,516 (-1.18%) • Projected Electors: 58,063 (-1.99%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary




## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WAKEHURST

Current Electors 58,817 (+2.84%) • Projected Electors: 59,085 (-0.27%)

### LEGEND:

- Existing State Electorate Boundary
- Existing Federal Boundary
- Other Proposed Boundary
- Existing Local Government Boundary
- Suburb/Locality Boundary











## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WALLSEND

Current Electors 57,832 (+1.12%) • Projected Electors: 59,247 (+0.01%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WAVERLEY

Current Electors 58,139 (+1.65%) • Projected Electors: 59,529 (+0.48%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WILLOUGHBY

Current Electors 57,858 (+1.16%) • Projected Electors: 59,519 (+0.46%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WOLLONDILLY

Current Electors 56,368 (-1.44%) • Projected Electors: 58,658 (-0.99%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# DETAIL - WOLLONDILLY, NORTH EASTERN BOUNDARY

Current Electors 56,368 (-1.44%) • Projected Electors: 58,658 (-0.99%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary









## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WOLLONGONG

Current Electors 56,251 (-1.65%) • Projected Electors: 58,499 (-1.26%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Existing Suburb/Locality Boundary






## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WOOLLAHRA

Current Electors 58,052 (+1.50%) • Projected Electors: 59,253 (+0.02%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary








## PROPOSED BOUNDARIES

# ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF WYONG

Current Electors 55,662 (-2.68%) • Projected Electors: 59,444 (+0.34%)

### LEGEND:

-  Existing State Electorate Boundary
-  Existing Federal Boundary
-  Other Proposed Boundary
-  Existing Local Government Boundary
-  Suburb/Locality Boundary

